6 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/081,418	HAHM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. M. Minnifield	1645
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>N. M. Minnifield</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Laurence Manber</u> , 35597.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 May 2004</u>	Time: <u>4:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 10, 11, 13, 14, 22-24		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.	-	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sure</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
MATA MINARY EXAMINER		
,		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner left voice mail message for Mr. Manber indicating that 5/4/04 after final amdt. had been entered and that all pending claims were in condition for allowance in view of the after final amendment. Examiner had previously indicated that SEQ ID NO: 2 was allowable and Applicant has amended claims to recite that the CA-MA 2 peptide comprises SEQ ID NO: 2. Claims 10, 11, 13, 14 and 22-24 have been allowed and renumbered 1-7 respectively..